



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,583	10/23/2003	Viswanath Krishnamurthy	843161-317	1089
7590 03/15/2006			EXAMINER	
B Noel Kivlin MEYERTONS HOOD KIVLIN KOWERT & GOETZEL P. C. P O BOX 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398			FRANKLIN, RICHARD B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,583	KRISHNAMURTHY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Franklin	2181
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Do</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	• •
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been	pplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	received.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) 🔲 Interview Si	FRITZ FLEMING  FRITZ FLEMING  SUPERING PRIMARY EXAMINER  ummary (PTO-413) GROUP 2100  )/Mail Date Hull 3/13/100 G  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/693,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2181

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-2, 4-8, and 10-19 have been examined.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Larson (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0033393) is cited to fix the deficiencies of Sakai (US Patent No. 5,581,787) in regards to unamended claim 16. Also, Larson is used to teach the newly added limitations of amended claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 2, 4 8, 10 11, 13 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cyr et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0177211 (hereinafter Cyr) in view of Larson et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0033393 (hereinafter Larson).

As per claim 1, Cyr teaches a computer network system (Cyr; Figure 2 Item 50), comprising: a circuit board forming a backplane (Cyr; Figure 3 Item 104, Paragraph [0029] Lines 5 – 9); at least one field replaceable unit (FRU) slot located on the

Art Unit: 2181

backplane (Cyr; Figure 3 Items 106 – 112, Paragraph [0029] Lines 5 – 9); a bus (Cyr; Figure 2 Item 58, Paragraph [0022] Lines 7 – 10); a central resource (Cyr; Figure 2 Items 52 – 56) coupled with the FRU slot via the bus, wherein the central resource is configured to generate a client-ID, wherein the client-ID is associated with the FRU slot (Cyr; Paragraph [0025] Lines 17 – 19); a non-volatile memory (Cyr; Figure 2 Item 92) coupled to the central resource, wherein the client-ID is stored in the non-volatile memory (Cyr; Paragraph [0025] Lines 17 – 25).

Cyr does not teach wherein when an FRU is connected to the FRU slot, the central resource is configured to retrieve the client-ID and provide the client-ID to the FRU, wherein the FRU is configured to download the client-ID via the bus.

However, Larson teaches a computer network system wherein when an FRU (Larson; Figure 3 Item 300) is connected to the FRU slot (Larson; Figures 1 and 2 Item 110), the central resource (Larson; Figure 3 Item 300E) is configured to retrieve the client-ID and provide the client-ID to the FRU, wherein the FRU is configured to download the client-ID via the bus (Larson; Paragraph [0070] Lines 11 – 15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Cyr by those of Larson because downloading the client-ID to the FRU is important because what was once a unique address in the system may conflict with an address in another system if the FRU is moved to the other system (Larson; Paragraph [0070] Lines 18 – 24).

Application/Control Number: 10/693,583

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 2, Cyr also teaches wherein the FRU slot comprises a Compact Peripheral Component Interconnect (CPCI) slot (Cyr; Paragraph [0028] Lines 6 – 11).

As per claim 4, Cyr also teaches wherein the client-ID comprises a geographical address of said FRU slot (Cyr; Figure 4 Item 200, Paragraph [0031]).

As per claim 5, Cyr also teaches wherein the client-ID comprises a unique identifier and wherein the unique identifier prevents an FRU from clashing with other network devices (Cyr; Paragraph [0022] Lines 12 – 14).

As per claim 6, Cyr also teaches wherein the client-ID comprises a client-ID utilized by an address protocol for assigning dynamic Internet Protocol (IP) addresses (Cyr; Paragraph [0024]).

As per claim 7, Cyr also teaches wherein said address protocol comprises a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) (Cyr; Paragraph [0024]).

As per claim 8, Cyr also teaches wherein the system further comprises an FRU held by said FRU slot (Cyr; Paragraph [25] Lines 8 – 14, and Paragraph [0028] Lines 10 – 13).

Application/Control Number: 10/693,583

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 10, Larson also teaches wherein said client-ID can be downloaded by said FRU via said bus (Larson; Paragraph [0070] Lines 11 – 15).

As per claim 11, Larson also teaches wherein the FRU uses an Intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) protocol to download the client-ID (Larson; Paragraph [0070] Lines 3 – 7).

As per claims 13 and 19, Cyr also teaches determining whether said FRU is to be replaced by a new FRU (Cyr; Paragraph [0025] Lines 8 – 14); retrieving and making said client-ID available to said new FRU (Larson; Paragraph [0062] Lines 7 – 9); and downloading said client-id by said new FRU (Larson; Paragraph [0062] Lines 7 – 9).

As per claim 14, Cyr also teaches the computer network system further comprising a second FRU slot located on said backplane and wherein said central resource generates a second client-ID (Cyr; Figure 2, Paragraph [0022] Lines 10 – 24).

As per claim 15, Cyr also teaches wherein said client-ID is uniquely generated by said central resource for said FRU slot and said second client-ID is uniquely generated by said central resource for said second FRU slot (Cyr; Paragraph [0022] Lines 10 – 24).

As per claim 16, Cyr teaches a method for client-ID generation on a computer network system (Cyr; Figure 2 Item 50), comprising: generating a client-ID via a central resource (Cyr; Paragraph [0025] Lines 17 – 19); associating the client-ID with a field replaceable unit (FRU) slot (Cyr; Paragraph [0022] Lines 7 – 24); storing the associated client-ID in a non-volatile memory (Cyr; Figure 2 Item 92; Paragraph [0025] Lines 17 – 25).

Cyr does not teach providing the stored client-ID to an FRU via an interface; and utilizing the client-ID by the FRU.

However, Larson teaches a computer network system wherein when an FRU is connected to the FRU slot, the central resource (Larson; Figure 3 Item 300E) is configured provide the client-ID to the FRU, and the client-ID is used by the FRU (Larson; Paragraph [0070]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Cyr by those of Larson because downloading the client-ID to the FRU is important because what was once a unique address in the system may conflict with an address in another system if the FRU is moved to the other system (Larson; Paragraph [0070] Lines 18 – 24).

As per claim 17, Cyr also teaches wherein the FRU is inserted into the FRU slot associated with the client-ID (Cyr; Paragraph [0029]).

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cyr et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0177211 (hereinafter Cyr) in view of Larson et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0033393 (hereinafter Larson) as applied to claims 1 - 2, 4 - 8, 10 - 11, 13 - 17, and 19 above and further in view of Reichmeyer et al. US Patent No. 6,286,038 (hereinafter Reichmeyer).

As per claims 12 and 18, Cyr in view of Larson teach the system as described above.

Cyr in view of Larson does not teach wherein the FRU utilizes the client-ID for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) booting.

However, Reichmeyer teaches a client (Reichmeyer; Figure 1 Item 10) who utilizes DHCP booting in a network system (Reichmeyer; Figure 1 Item 18, Col 4 Lines 4 – 20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teaching of Cyr in combination with Larson because DHCP booting allows the client to choose a DHCP server to utilize (Reichmeyer; Col 4 Lines 4 - 30).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0669. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,583 Page 8

Art Unit: 2181

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on (571) 272-4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Franklin Patent Examiner Art Unit 2181

FRITZ FLEMING
FRITZ FLEMING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2100
Lucust